Summary

This brief addresses the importance of women’s full, equal and meaningful participation to an effective pandemic response and to peacemaking efforts, and how the women, peace and security (WPS) agenda can provide a critical framework for inclusive decision-making and sustainable solutions. While efforts to flatten the pandemic’s curve unfold around the globe, violent conflict remains a deadly reality for far too many people. In March, the United Nations (UN) Secretary-General called for a global ceasefire to allow the world to address COVID-19. Since the outbreak of the pandemic, women have been at the forefront of effective COVID-19 prevention and response efforts—from frontline service delivery to the highest levels of decision-making. With women’s participation central to achieving sustainable solutions, the pandemic has brought into sharp relief how critical the WPS agenda is to inclusive and effective decision-making. This brief recognizes the vital role of women’s civil society organizations in mobilizing support for an urgent cessation of hostilities, inclusive ceasefire processes and comprehensive peace talks. It also provides a preliminary analysis of the impact of COVID-19 on women’s participation in ceasefires and peace processes and offers a series of recommendations, including on ‘building back better’.
How is the world changing due to COVID-19?

The number of confirmed COVID-19 cases reached over 16 million by 28 July 2020. The impact of the crisis on women in conflict-affected contexts is of particular concern. In these already tragic contexts, many women have had their access to sexual and reproductive health, livelihoods and other essential services severely restricted. Women’s increased exposure to the disease due to their high levels of engagement in paid and unpaid care work, the surge in domestic violence and already fragile health systems being overwhelmed are driving home the gender-differentiated impacts of the pandemic. Displaced, refugee, rural and other marginalized women face additional challenges including a lack of reliable information and access to critical technologies. Any resource shifts away from advocacy, expertise and programming in support of women’s political participation risk harmful intergenerational consequences for women’s rights, including in areas such as girls’ education and women’s economic empowerment.

COVID-19 is disrupting efforts to end conflict, with gendered impacts

At least 2 billion people around the world live in places impacted by fragility, conflict and violence. COVID-19 has rendered the lives of people in conflict-affected areas all the more insecure. On 23 March 2020, the UN Secretary-General called for a global ceasefire to silence the guns and focus efforts on fighting the pandemic. His appeal prompted positive responses from Member States, conflict parties, regional organizations and civil society. From Cameroon, Colombia and the Philippines to South Sudan and the Middle East, adversaries took tentative steps to stop violence. However, gestures of support for the call for a global ceasefire did not always translate into concrete improvements on the ground. On 23 June, three months after the appeal, the UN Department of Political and Peacebuilding Affairs (DPPA) released a Policy Note exploring the challenges and opportunities presented by this call. On 1 July, the Security Council reinforced the Secretary-General’s call for a global ceasefire through adoption of resolution 2532 (2020). Too many people continue to be affected by conflict; alarmingly, escalations in violence occurred in parts of Afghanistan, Libya, Yemen and the Sahel. In some settings, initial humanitarian pauses or unilateral ceasefires declared by conflict parties have expired, impacting the ability to deliver much-needed aid.

In a meeting of the Security Council on 9 April 2020, the UN Secretary General outlined several trends related to the pandemic with implications for conflict prevention. These include further erosion of trust in public institutions, a rise in community tensions associated with response measures and, in some contexts, an amplification of existing drivers and root causes of conflict. Tensions exist around the need for governments to maintain their constitutional obligations to hold elections and the conflicting public health risks posed by in-person voting. Some conflict actors are exploiting the current climate of uncertainty to press their advantage, including through opportunistic and terrorist attacks. In Libya, for example, a hospital treating COVID-19 patients was bombed in early April, and in May a maternity hospital in Afghanistan was attacked. Ongoing threats and experiences of violence such as these increase the burden of COVID-19 as individuals may avoid accessing health facilities and seeking the medical care they desperately need.

These added complexities come on top of existing difficulties in accessing care in weak and often overwhelmed health systems in conflict-affected contexts. In Syria, for example, UN data show that after nine years of war, only 64 per cent of hospitals and 52 per cent of primary health-care centres are fully functional and that up to 70 per cent of health-care workers have left the country. In some contexts, the COVID-19 pandemic may become a conflict multiplier by creating additional competition for medical supplies and services, as well as food.

In the face of these challenges, women’s civil society has been mobilizing around the world using online platforms and social media to call for an urgent cessation of hostilities, inclusive ceasefire processes and comprehensive peace talks. For example, nine Yemeni women’s networks issued a joint
statement calling for a ceasefire and an inclusive ceasefire agreement process to follow, and a coalition of over 70 Afghan and international NGOs issued a joint statement reaffirming the call for a humanitarian ceasefire.

Women’s participation is essential to achieving peace during and after the pandemic

Women’s participation is essential to achieving peace during and after the pandemic. A key element of the WPS agenda is women’s participation in peacemaking. Women’s full, equal and meaningful participation is central to achieving sustainable solutions in peacemaking as well as in pandemic response and recovery. The WPS agenda, underpinned by 10 UN Security Council resolutions, offers an essential analytical lens to understand and respond to conflict and instability. It recognizes the differentiated impacts of conflict on women and girls and places women at the centre of efforts to prevent its outbreak and achieve sustainable peace. The pandemic has brought into sharp relief how critical the WPS agenda is as a framework for effectively addressing the immediate impact of COVID-19 on conflict-affected populations as well as ensuring the sustainability of longer-term recovery, resilience and peacemaking processes.

Women have a fundamental right to and interest in participating in decision-making that affects them and the future of their countries. Beyond this, women’s engagement in peace processes brings substantive advantages. Their direct participation in a critical mass can contribute to shifting dynamics and broadening the issues under discussion, which increases the likelihood of addressing the root causes of the conflict as well as building community buy-in to the process and outcomes. Research suggests that when women are included, peace agreements are more likely to last. Moreover, women civil society actors can help apply pressure on conflict parties to reach agreement or to go back to the negotiating table when talks have faltered.

Still, women’s inclusion in formal, high-level mediation (‘Track I’) processes has long been difficult to achieve. Despite two decades of analysis, interventions and policy advocacy, the prevailing norms in these spaces have remained relatively resistant to change. The modalities for women’s participation in formal peace processes, however, are well documented. These range from direct participation as members of delegations, mediators and advisers supporting processes as part of mediation teams, to observers, briefers and members of advisory boards. Historically, mass mobilization and advocacy to apply pressure on parties to include women in talks has been fundamental to securing their participation and to gender-responsive outcomes.

In peace processes, ceasefires are a critical part of initial security arrangements. They exist largely to stop the violence, create space for humanitarian access, provide a window of opportunity to reframe conflict dynamics and create the conditions to commence broader peace processes. While the success and sustainability of ceasefires is largely driven by the willingness of the conflict parties to seize the opportunity to pursue peace, the involvement of women’s civil society has been shown to apply political pressure on conflict parties and help shift dynamics. As such, it is vital that processes to secure a ceasefire include women’s participation from the outset and are responsive to the differentiated needs of women and men affected by conflict. Indeed, such agreements can lay the foundation for the inclusion of women in comprehensive peace processes that follow. UN Women-commissioned research suggests that, even prior to COVID-19, only 11 per cent of ceasefire agreements included gender provisions—half the level of such provisions in other types of peace agreements.12

While the current peace and security landscape is often characterized by protracted conflicts and stalled mediation processes, important mediation work continues at all times with opportunities for women’s meaningful participation. Political advocacy, shuttle diplomacy and other conversations remain essential elements of conflict prevention and resolution efforts. In some existing peace processes, discussions continue to move forward virtually or via remote means, offering facilitators the opportunity to include stakeholders hitherto traditionally excluded, including women.

Spurred by COVID-19, reinvigorated efforts to secure peace—such as through the UN Secretary-General’s call for a global...
ceasefire—may provide new entry points to shift existing dynamics and drive conflict actors to talks. Women, and their gender differentiated needs and perspectives, must be included each and every time talks are held. This applies equally to ceasefire discussions where trust between parties is low and participation often more so, as well as to talks on arrangements for peace agreement implementation.

As peace processes continue under the shadow of violent conflict and a global health emergency, sustained attention must be dedicated to mitigating the high risk that women’s leadership, gender expertise and context-specific gender analysis may be overlooked precisely when needed most.

**FIGURE 2:**
Elements of women’s meaningful participation in peace and security processes

Source: UN Women 2018a.

How to ensure women’s inclusion in peacemaking during the pandemic

Transformative and inclusive approaches to sustainable peace are essential. This year’s 20th anniversary of UN Security Council resolution 1325 (2000), the Secretary-General’s call for a global ceasefire and the mobilization of efforts to respond to COVID-19 across the UN system provide a critical opportunity for the international community to re-energize and refocus attention on inclusive possibilities for peace. The following recommendations are offered as advice to Member States, mediators and their teams and, above all, to conflict parties to ensure gender-inclusive, formal peace processes during and beyond the COVID-19 pandemic.

1. **Continue to call for and support women’s meaningful participation in ceasefire and peace negotiations.** Persistent political advocacy is a potent tool. It is essential to raise the need publicly for all ceasefire and peace talks to include women, to incentivize conflict parties to include women on their delegations and to press mediators to explore creative options to mainstream women’s participation. As effective ceasefires need to address the particular needs and experiences of women in conflict, pressure should be applied to encourage parties to engage in inclusive ceasefire negotiations. Where unilateral ceasefires are declared, the momentum leading to those commitments must be harnessed to open the political space for comprehensive peace talks with women’s full, equal and meaningful participation. Successful examples of women’s participation and advocacy in securing agreements should be highlighted as part of public messaging and strategic communications. Further investments in knowledge products, discussions and trainings on gender-responsive ceasefire arrangements should also be made.
2. **Press for dedicated measures to promote women’s meaningful participation in formal negotiations.** As formal discussion spaces are created, whether online or in person, temporary special measures such as quotas and reserved seats should be actively explored. A comprehensive package of context-specific incentives should be provided to overcome any additional COVID-19-related barriers to women’s safe participation. Needs-based financial compensation, transport, childcare and other support needs should be made available along with access to digital tools for women.

3. **Provide specific support to women on negotiating delegations.** Ensuring that women on conflict party delegations are able to participate meaningfully requires targeted support to address their specific needs. Women negotiators should be offered, consistent with their male counterparts, expert support on key thematic issues under discussion, including security arrangements, humanitarian needs and gender equality issues. Gender advisers must be deployed to support ceasefire discussions, and gender inclusivity training should be provided to all delegates in ceasefire and peace talks, women and men alike.

4. **Increase support to women’s civil society organizations.** The voices of women’s civil society organizations should be amplified. Their critical contributions to community leadership, decision-making and peace need to be recognized and their perspectives incorporated as part of the gender-responsive conflict analysis that should underpin all mediation strategies. It is equally critical for civil society actors to hear about...
5. Call for the inclusion of gender-responsive COVID-19 commitments in agreements. In the context of the pandemic, women’s groups have highlighted the need for provisions on humanitarian access, prisoner exchange/release, access to public health, social protection and economic assistance as essential to supporting women’s rights and their communities. In Colombia, for example, the National Liberation Army (ELN) announcement of 29 March 2020 that it would temporarily cease hostilities was accompanied by a call for financial aid for low-income families. Such pronouncements could go further in other contexts, including by offering short- and long-term social protection, such as non-contributory cash transfers to women and other marginalized populations, as well as access to dedicated health services. Gender-responsive conflict analysis must be the foundation stone of mediation efforts and used to inform strategies, ideas and interventions. Women peacebuilders should be engaged in decisions about and the design and implementation of all COVID-19 responses in conflict-affected communities.

6. Support multi-track peace processes. Grass-roots women’s groups are already playing significant roles building trust across communities and supporting local peace initiatives. A survey of 63 peace processes leading to formal peace agreements found that 60 per cent had parallel Track II processes, with 71 per cent of those informal processes showing clear evidence of the involvement of women’s groups. While women’s civil society has often been engaged in peace processes, including through advisory boards, consultative mechanisms and other forums, more needs to be done. Digital technologies offer new opportunities to amplify women’s voices across different levels of a process, or to connect women’s perspectives brought out in Track II and III forums with Track I actors and thus inform formal processes. Track II and III actors should regularly be invited to engage with Track I delegates as briefers and to engage in consultations and dialogues to drive more comprehensive approaches.

7. Ensure women are engaged as a vital constituency in the implementation of agreements. Women’s perspectives should be integrated in all gender-responsive conflict analysis, planning and process design. Ceasefire and peace agreements should incorporate inclusive verification arrangements, with monitoring teams that are gender diverse; collect sex- and age-disaggregated data; and have access to the most vulnerable populations in the community, including internally displaced persons and refugee and minority women. Minimum quotas for women’s participation should be pursued.

8. Apply a gender lens to navigating the shift to mediation over digital platforms. As mediation increasingly makes use of technology, opportunities and risks exist for women’s inclusion. The Syrian Women Advisory Board and the Technical Advisory Group for Yemen have been able to meet more regularly through online platforms and have engaged with the offices of the respective UN Special Envoys as well as with the main protagonists in the conflict. Formal meetings should be structured to incorporate women’s participation, and dedicated meetings on gender equality should be convened. Technological opportunities should be harnessed to facilitate broader consultations with diverse women while providing avenues for those without internet access to engage. At the same time, investments should be made to support women’s access to technology, which is lower than that for men globally, and even more so in fragile settings. This includes access to reliable sources of electricity. Holistic plans to protect women who engage in online spaces are needed as well.
9. Ensure dedicated gender expertise to support the work of peace processes. Mediators, donors and Heads of UN entities should budget for specific WPS capacity for peace processes, including in funding requests. Gender capacity should be deployed, gender-responsive analysis of key issues mainstreamed across mediation work and gender-inclusive language incorporated in ceasefire and peace agreements. Gender-specific entry points should be identified and pursued, with processes designed to promote women’s meaningful participation. Where relevant, agreements should explicitly include provisions to prohibit sexual and gender-based violence, and such provisions should be reflected in military codes of conduct as well as in reporting by monitoring and verification mechanisms. Ceasefire implementation provisions should consider the differentiated needs of women and men participating in those processes, including safe access to cantonment sites, clothing requirements and health needs.

10. Build back with smarter and more inclusive systems. The COVID-19 pandemic is exacerbating existing gender inequalities and disrupting coping mechanisms in conflict-affected contexts. But the crisis must also be seen as an opportunity to spur the re-negotiation of social contracts and place women’s meaningful participation in public life and peacebuilding at the front and centre of collective efforts. Effective peace agreements should be oriented towards ‘building back better’ with more just, equal and inclusive societies serving as a bulwark against future relapses into conflict. This includes addressing women’s political participation and socioeconomic opportunities, and prioritizing social protection and gender-responsive budgeting. National action plans on WPS can be used to promote structures and systems that enable women’s meaningful inclusion in decision-making, including in the prevention of and response to crises such as the current COVID-19 pandemic.

UN Women and DPPA’s Partnerships in Action

UN Women and the UN Department of Political and Peacebuilding Affairs (DPPA) have a longstanding partnership working together at global, regional and country levels to support gender-inclusive peace processes. With the outbreak of COVID-19, UN Women and DPPA are prioritizing the following, working in partnership with the UN Department of Peace Operations (DPO) and other partners:

Providing financial and political support to women-led civil society
Women’s civil society undertakes vital peacebuilding work. Increased protection measures and flexible, long-term funding are urgently needed for them to continue this work. UN Women and DPPA partner with, and directly support, women’s civil society. Through its role as the Secretariat for the Women’s Peace and Humanitarian Fund, UN Women is supporting the mobilization of funds for civil society with a special COVID-19 Emergency Response Window during this critical time. At the same time, through the Gender Promotion Initiative of the Secretary-General’s Peacebuilding Fund, DPPA is allocating resources directly to gender equality programming, including funds to civil society organizations. With UN Women’s wide-ranging civil society networks and DPPA’s leadership role on mediation issues, both UN entities are working to increase political support to women-led civil society by pursuing entry points and providing platforms for women’s civil society to participate in and inform peace processes.

Integrating gender-responsive political and conflict analysis as a fundamental cornerstone of peace and political processes
Gender-responsive political and conflict analysis is an essential foundation to inform inclusive peace process design, planning and resourcing. DPPA is committed to integrating gender-sensitive analysis into all its work. UN Women’s role as the Secretariat of the Security Council Informal Experts Group on WPS—as well as DPPA and UN Women’s joint efforts with partners such as DPO to strengthen the gender analysis informing mission transitions, common country analysis (CCA) processes, UN Sustainable Development Cooperation Frameworks (UNSDCFs) and Peacebuilding Priority Planning—will continue to be essential to efforts to integrate gender analysis across the UN’s peace and security work.

Ensuring the availability of quality gender expertise
Gender expertise is essential in ensuring gender-inclusive processes and outcomes. UN Women staff in offices and programmes around the world will continue to offer on-demand and remotely deployable gender expertise to support policy development, provide capacity-building for women’s civil society’s engagement in peace processes and facilitate opportunities for women to contribute their insights and expertise to formal mechanisms. DPPA’s gender advisers are deployed to Special Political Missions, including envoys’ offices, to support inclusive mediation efforts. Both UN Women and DPPA commit to continue seizing opportunities to raise the profile of and provide platforms for women to contribute their expertise to inclusive peace processes. Both entities further commit to continue their efforts to strengthen knowledge and understanding of the importance of gender-responsive peace agreements, including women’s participation in peace talks and on the gender dimensions of ceasefires.
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